

ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA SHARING INSECT SCIENCE GLOBALLY

OXFORD

Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis of the Mitochondrial Genome Sequence of *Nisia fuliginosa* (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Meenoplidae)

Sha-Sha Lv,^o Yu-Jie Zhang,^o Nian Gong, and Xiang-Sheng Chen¹

Institute of Entomology and Special Key Laboratory for Development and Utilization of Insect Resources of Guizhou, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China and ¹Corresponding author, e-mail: chenxs3218@163.com

Subject Editor: Daniela Takiya

Received 25 March 2021; Editorial decision 25 June 2021

Abstract

We explored characterization of the mitochondrial genome (mitogenome or mtGenome) and phylogenetic analysis between 32 Fulgoroid species by sequencing and analyzing the mitogenome of *Nisia fuliginosa* Yang and Hu, 1985 (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Meenoplidae), thereby making it the first determined mitogenome from the family Meenoplidae. The mitogenome was found to be 15,754 bp in length and contained 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs), 22 tRNA genes, two ribosomal RNA genes (rRNAs), and a control region. All PCGs started with typical ATN codons, except for *nad1*, which used GTG as the start codon. Canonical TAA termination codons were found in 10 PCGs and the remaining three genes (*cox2, nad6*, and *nad1*) had incomplete stop codons T. All tRNAs could fold into typical cloverleaf secondary structures, with the exception of *trnC, trnV*, and *trnS1*. Additionally, we compared the AT and GC skews of 13 PCGs of 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes, on the L-strand, the AT and GC skews were negative and positive, respectively. However, on the H-strand, the AT skew could be positive or negative and the GC skew was always negative. Phylogenetic results showed that the eight families of Fulgoroidea were divided into two large groups. Delphacidae formed a monophyletic group sister to a clade comprising Meenoplidae and other six families (Fulgoridae, Ricaniidae, Flatidae, Issidae, Caliscelidae, and Achilidae). Meenoplidae was located near the clade of Meenoplidae. Furthermore, Caliscelidae, Issidae, Ricaniidae, and Flatidae are closely related and they collectively formed a sister group to Achilidae.

Key words: Fulgoroidea, Meenoplidae, mitochondrial genome, phylogeny

Meenoplidae is a relatively small family of planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea), with around 166 described species in 23 genera (Bourgoin 2021). It contains two subfamilies (Meenoplinae Fieber, 1872, and Kermesiinae Kirkaldy, 1906). Both lineages are mainly distributed in the Old World tropics and subtropics and are absent from the New World (Emeljanov 1984; Bourgoin 1997, 2021). It has been reported to harm a variety of economic crops, including Malvaceae (cotton), Gramineae (sugarcane, corn, sorghum, Zizania latifolia), Zygophyllaceae (Tetraena mongolica), Cyperaceae (Cyperus rotundus), and Caryophyllaceae (Dianthus chinensis) (Zhou et al. 1985, Hu and Yang 1993, Zhao and Liu 2010), and primarily feed on plants of the Gramineae family. During our investigation, we found that N. fuliginosa (Yang and Hu 1985) severely harmed the dominant aquatic plant, Cyperaceae, Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani, in the Caohai Wetland National Nature Reserve, Southwest China. Damage is caused to these plants when adults and nymphs suck the plant sap and rob plants of nutrients, causing plants to be malnourished and withered, with yellow or yellow-brown spots. Adult female planthoppers

use the ovipositor to pierce plant tissues to lay eggs, causing plants to lose water. Adults and nymphs also secrete wax powder and honeydew, hindering the photosynthesis of plants and seriously affecting plant growth, sometimes to the point of plant death, and provide favorable conditions for the proliferation of fungi and bacteria.

In recent years, mitochondrial genome sequences have been widely used in the study of phylogenetic relationships, conservative genetics, and molecular evolution among insects (Crampton-Platt et al. 2015, Song et al. 2016a, Timmermans et al. 2016, Li et al. 2020, Liu et al. 2020), as well as in the study of phylogeography and population genetics (Bjork et al. 2011, Foote et al. 2011, James et al. 2016, Chang et al. 2017, Fields et al. 2018, Sun et al. 2019, Du et al. 2020). The mitogenome is a complete organelle genome sequence present in eukaryotic cells. Generally, the mitogenome is highly conserved and consists of a double-stranded circular molecule, encoding 37 genes, and ranges in length from approximately 14 to 20 kb, including 13 proteincoding genes (PCGs), 22 tRNA genes, two ribosomal RNA genes

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

(rRNAs), and a control region (Boore 1999, Cameron 2014, Wang et al. 2015, Du et al. 2020). As a class of molecular markers, it has the characteristics of maternal inheritance, rapid evolution rate, relatively stable gene composition, and unambiguous orthologs (Avise 1986, Du et al. 2020). Compared with DNA fragments, the whole mitogenome can provide more detailed information, including the arrangement of gene sequences, RNA secondary structures, codon usage, and characteristics of the control region (Li et al. 2012a, Wang et al. 2019, Ma et al. 2020).

The study of mitogenomes started relatively late in the superfamily Fulgoroidea. Song and Liang (2009a) were the first to annotate the mitogenome of Geisha distinctissima in the family Flatidae and found that its gene composition and arrangement were similar to other Hemiptera. In the same year, the first annotated analysis of Delphacidae (Laodelphax striatellus) was performed and a phylogenetic tree was constructed for published Hemiptera insects (Song and Liang 2009b). Song et al. (2010) first studied the mitogenome of Sivaloka damnosus in Issidae and constructed a phylogenetic tree of Hemiptera. Song et al. (2012) annotated three new mitochondrial genomes, namely Pyrops candelaria, Lycorma delicatula (Fulgoridae), and Ricania marginalis (Ricaniidae), which further amplified the genome research of Hemiptera. Xu et al. (2019) were the first to annotate and analyze the mitogenomes of five species of Achilidae and constructed a phylogenetic tree of six families (Achilidae, Delphacidae, Fulgoridae, Issidae, Ricaniidae, and Flatidae). Gong et al. (2021) sequenced four new mitogenomes of Caliscelidae and constructed a phylogenetic tree of 28 Fulgoroidea species. Many ongoing studies continue to supplement the mitogenome data of Fulgoroidea. According to NCBI database statistics, the currently available mitogenome data of the superfamily Fulgoroidea has increased to 32 species in eight families (including this study).

At present, the research on Meenoplidae mainly consists of descriptions of new taxa and few reports on molecular studies have been reported. Some scholars have identified some gene fragments to study the phylogeny of the superfamily Fulgoroidea. However, based on the current research situation, the phylogenetic status and relationships of Meenoplidae based on the study of morphology and gene fragments remain unclear (Emeljanov 1991, Bourgoin 1997, Yeh et al. 2005, Urban and Cryan 2007, Song and Liang 2013) and research regarding the mitochondrial genome requires updating to further authenticate Meenoplidae's phylogenetic status and relationships.

In this study, we sequenced the mitochondrial genome of *N. fuliginosa* from southwestern China using next-generation sequencing technology, making it the first determined mitogenome from the family Meenoplidae. The gene organization, base composition, PCGs, codon usage, and the structure of the tRNAs and rRNAs of its mitochondrial genome were predicted and analyzed. We also compared the AT and GC skews of 13 PCGs of 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes. Besides, we constructed phylogenic trees of Fulgoroidea based on current mitogenomic information for Cercopidae (*Aeneolamia contigua*) and Cicadellidae (*Populicerus populi*) as outgroups under the Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) criteria. This research can not only assist the understanding of the mitogenomic structure of and relationships between different species of Fulgoroidea.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation and DNA Extraction

Samples of *N. fuliginosa* were collected in Caohai Wetland National Nature Reserve, Weining County, Guizhou Province, China. The

collected samples were preserved in absolute ethanol, placed in a refrigerator at -40°C, and stored in the Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University. After morphological identification, total DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using the DNeasy DNA Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Agarose (1%) electrophoresis was used to detect degradation and impurities in the extracted DNA, and the concentration and purity of samples were determined by Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Sequencing and Assembling of Mitochondrial Genome

Next-generation sequencing technology was applied to obtain the mitogenome sequences. Qualified samples were constructed according to the standard procedure of the Illumina DNA library and to construct a paired-end (PE) sequencing library with an insert size of 350 bp. After the library was constructed, the quantitative polymerase chain reaction method and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) were used for quality control. The Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA) high-throughput sequencing platform was used to sequence the qualified DNA library, the sequencing strategy was PE 150, and the quantity of sequencing data for each sample was at least 2 Gb. The high-quality reads were assembled using SPAdes version 3.5.0 (http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades/) (Lapidus et al. 2014). According to the mitogenome sequence assembled, the DNA sequence alignment method was used to separate the mitogenome sequence from the total DNA sequencing data and then the captured mitochondrial genome sequence was subjected to data statistics and quality control.

Mitochondrial Genome Annotation and Analysis

Preliminary annotation of the mitochondrial genome was conducted using MITOS (http://mitos.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/index.py) and ORF Finder (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/) (Bernt et al. 2013). For the preliminary results of the annotation, BLASTP and BLASTN (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) were used to compare encoded proteins and rRNAs of the mitochondrial genomes with those of previously reported related species and to verify the accuracy of the results and make corrections. The base composition and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) were analyzed using MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). The secondary structure of the rRNAs was inferred and predicted based on the models of Ugyops sp. (Yu and Liang 2018) and Taharana fasciana (Wang et al. 2017) and the helix names refer to Gillespie et al. (2006). The annotation of the tRNAs was performed using ARWEN version 1.2 (http://mbio-serv2.mbioekol.lu.se/ARWEN/) (Laslett and Canbäck 2008). If there was an abnormality in the tRNA, we employed tRNAscan-SE 2.0 (http://lowelab.ucsc.edu/tRNAscan-SE/) to verify the prediction status. The tRNAs with unreasonable lengths and incomplete structures were discarded, and a tRNA secondary structure map was generated (Lowe and Chan 2016). AT Skew = (A - T)/(A + T), GC Skew = (G - C)/(G + C) (Perna and Kocher 1995).

Phylogenetic Analysis

Based on the nucleotide sequence of the 13 PCGs and 13 PCGs + 2 rRNAs of the mitogenome, we chose Cercopidae (*Aeneolamia contigua*) and Cicadellidae (*Populicerus populi*) as outgroups and used ML analysis and BI analysis methods to construct phylogenetic trees for eight families (Meenoplidae, Fulgoridae, Delphacidae, Achilidae, Issidae, Caliscelidae, Ricaniidae, and Flatidae) and 32 species of the superfamily Fulgoroidea (Table 1). The 13 PCGs

Superfamily	Family	Species	
Outgroups	Cercopidae	Aeneolamia contigua	
	Cicadellidae	Populicerus populi	
Fulgoroidea	Meenoplidae	Nisia fuliginosa	
	Achilidae	Peltatavertexalis horizontalis	
		Betatropis formosana	
		Magadhaideus luodiana	
		Paracatonidia sp.	
		Plectoderini sp.	
	F 1 1	T 1 1: 1	

Tab	le 1.	L	ist	of	taxa	used	for	· phy	logenet	ic and	s	kewness	analy	/sis
-----	-------	---	-----	----	------	------	-----	-------	---------	--------	---	---------	-------	------

utgroups	Cercopidae	Aeneolamia contigua	NC025495	
	Cicadellidae	Populicerus populi	NC039427	
ılgoroidea	Meenoplidae	Nisia fuliginosa	MW192046	
	Achilidae	Peltatavertexalis horizontalis	MH324929	
		Betatropis formosana	MH324927	
		Magadhaideus luodiana	MH324928	
		Paracatonidia sp.	MH324931	
		Plectoderini sp.	MH324930	
	Fulgoridae	Lycorma delicatula	NC012835	
		Lycorma meliae	MT079725	
		Pyrops candelaria	FJ006724	
		Aphaena discolor	MN025523	
		Aphaena amabilis	NC045075	
	Delphacidae	Changeondelphax velitchkovskyi	NC037181	
	*	Laodelphax striatellus	NC013706	
		Nilaparvata bakeri	NC033388	
		Nilaparvata lugens	NC021748	
		Nilaparvata muiri	NC024627	
		Peregrinus maidis	NC037182	
		Saccharosydne procerus	NC042179	
		Sogatella furcifera	NC021417	
		Sogatella vibix	NC042180	
		Sogatella kolophon	MW009064	
		Ugyops sp.	MH352481	
	Issidae	Hemisphaerius rufovarius	MT210096	
		Sivaloka damnosus	FJ360694	
	Ricaniidae	Ricania marginalis	NC019597	
		Ricania speculum	NC031369	
		Ricania shantungensis	NC051496	
	Flatidae	Geisha distinctissima	NC012617	
	Caliscelidae	Bambusicaliscelis flavus	MW281858	
		Bambusicaliscelis fanjingensis	MW281859	
		Youtuus strigatus	MW281860	
		Youtuus erythrus	MW281861	

and two rRNAs were first aligned individually using MAFFT version 7.450 (Katoh and Standley 2013), then concatenated using SequenceMatrix 1.8 (Vaidya et al. 2011), which was also used for phylogenetic analyses. The best model (GTR + I + G4) for concatenate sequences under the corrected Akaike Information Criterion using jModeltest 2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012) was selected. The ML analysis was performed using IQ-TREE version 1.6.3 (Nguyen et al. 2015) and evaluated using the ultrafast bootstrap approximation approach for 10,000 replicates. The BI analysis was performed using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012), then four simultaneous Markov chains ran for 20 million generations and trees were sampled every 1,000 generations, with a burn-in of 25%.

Results

Genome Organization

The length of mitogenome of the N. fuliginosa was found to be 15,754 bp (GenBank MW192046), which consists of 37 genes, including 13 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, two rRNA genes, and one control region (Fig. 1, Table 2). This is the first reported mitochondrial genome sequence from the family Meenoplidae. Unfortunately, we could not successfully sequence the complete control region, only 621 bp were sequenced. Notably, the gene arrangement of N. fuliginosa was consistent with the putative ancestral gene order.

The heavy chain (H-strand) encodes 23 genes, which comprise 9 PCGs (nad2, cox1, cox2, atp8, atp6, cox3, nad3, nad6, and cytb) and 14 tRNA genes (trnI, trnM, trnW, trnL2, trnK, trnD, trnG, trnA, trnR, trnN, trnS1, trnE, trnT, and trnS2). The remaining four PCGs (nad5, nad4, nad4l, and nad1), eight tRNA genes (trnQ, trnC, trnY, trnF, trnH, trnP, trnL1, and trnV), and two rRNA genes (rrnL and *rrnS*) are all encoded by the light chain (L-strand) (Fig. 1, Table 2). There are three gene overlapping regions in the mitochondrial genome, making a total of 11 bp. The longest overlapping sequence is between *atp8* and *atp6*, with a length of 7 bp. The mitogenome is relatively loose, with 25 gene spacer regions (854 bp), and the longest spacer sequence is between nad4 and nad4l (148 bp). Eight regions have neither overlaps nor spacers.

Base Composition

Analysis of the base content of 13 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, 2 rRNA genes, and a detected control region of the mitogenome sequence of the N. fuliginosa showed that (Table 3) the A, T, G, and C contents of the mitogenome (no control region) were 46.2%, 34.1%, 7.1%, and 12.6%, respectively. The AT content was found to be 80.3% and the GC content was found to be 19.7%, showing obvious AT preference. The content of AT and GC were found to be 85.5% and 14.5%, respectively, in the detected control region (621 bp), 79.2% and 20.8% in the 13 PCGs, 81.3% and 18.7%

GenBank number GenBank accession



Fig. 1. Circular map of the mitogenome of *N. fuliginosa*. Protein coding and tRNA genes are shown with standard abbreviations. tRNA genes are exhibited as single-letter abbreviations, except for the S1 = AGN, S2 = UCN, L1 = CUN, and L2 = UUR. The thick lines outside the circle indicate the heavy strand, whereas those inside the circle indicate the light strand.

in the 22 tRNA genes, and 81.3% and 18.7% in the two rRNA genes, respectively.

Composition analysis (Table 3) revealed that the mitogenome of *N. fuliginosa* exhibited a positive AT skew (0.153) and a negative GC skew (-0.279) in the mitogenome. The 13 PCGs showed an AT skew of -0.139 and a GC skew of -0.067. The 22 tRNAs showed an AT skew of 0.058 and a GC skew of 0.134. The two rRNAs showed an AT skew of -0.171 and a GC skew of 0.339. Additionally, AT and GC skews (of 0.333 and -0.572, respectively) were detected in the detected control region. Positive and negative skews indicate the occurrence of more or less A(G) than T(C), which has also been observed in other examined Fulgoroidea mitogenomes.

We also compared the AT and GC skews of 13 PCGs of 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes (Figs 2 & 3, Tables 4 & 5). On the L-strand, the AT skew was negative and all skew values were high. Conversely, the GC skew was positive, only the skew value for the *nad4l* gene was high. On the H-strand, the AT skew could be positive or negative, but the GC skew was always negative. A and C bases were found to be more prevalent than T and G bases.

Protein-coding Genes

The 13 PCGs of the mitogenome of *N. fuliginosa* were found to be 10,839 bp in length, accounting for around 68.80% of the mitochondrial genome sequence (15,754 bp), encoding a total of 3,612 codons. Among them (Table 2), *nad5* (1,617 bp) was found to be the longest sequence and *atp8* (108 bp) was the shortest. Except for the start codon of the *nad1* gene (GTG), all other PCGs' start codons are ATN. The start codons of the *cox1*, *cox2*, *cox3*, *atp6*, *nad4*, *nad4l*, and *cytb* genes are ATG, whereas *nad2* and *nad5* use ATT as the start codon, *nad3* and *nad6* use ATA, and *atp8* use ATC. The termination codons of 10 PCGs are all TAA and the remaining genes (*cox2*, *nad6*, and *nad1*) use an incomplete stop codon T.

The amino acids usage of 13 PCGs and the RSCU frequency statistical analysis results are shown in Figures 4 & 5 and Table 6. The most frequently used amino acids were found to be Ile, Phe, and Leu2, the frequency of the codons AUU was found to be the highest (416 incidences). Cys, Arg, and Asp were found to be relatively scarce and the frequency of the codons CCG and CGC was zero. The most frequency of synonymous codons are UUA, UCA, and UCU, and UUA has the highest frequency of relative synonymous

md H 1-69 60 GAT ATT Transmission Carter Transmission Carter Transmission Transmission	Gene	Strand	Location	Size (bp)	Anticodon	Start codon	Stop codon	Intergenic nucleotides
med 1 67-113 67 TIG mail 1 203-177 96 CT AIT TA mail 1 130-137 96 CT AIT TA mail 1 130-137 96 CT AIT TA mail 1 130-1363 56 CGA AIT TA mail 1 1316-3180 63 TA AIT TA mail 1 1367-3933 73 CT AIT TA mail 1 3367-3933 73 CT AIT TA main 400-4014 10	trnI	H	1-69	69	GAT			ς. Γ
MM H 138-202 6 CAT MT MT MT MT mark H 1216-1238 74 TCA MT	trnQ	L	67-135	69	TTG			2
	trnM	Н	138-202	65	CAT			0
mw H 1216-1280 74 TCA mr 1 1216-1285 5 CCA mr 1 1357-3105 1339 CTA MrG TA mr21 1 1 1357-3105 1339 CTA MrG TA mr21 H 3187-3129 673 TA MrG TA mr21 H 3187-3129 73 CT MrG TA mr15 H 3327-3129 73 CT MrG TA mr16 H 3327-3129 73 CT MrG TA mr16 H 3327-3129 73 CT MrG TA mr16 H 4.004-4111 108 TC MrG TA mr16 H 4.004-4111 108 TC MrG TA mr16 H 4.004-4111 108 TC TA TA mr16 H 4.004-4111	nad2	Н	203 - 1, 171	969		ATT	TAA	44
	trnW	Н	1,216-1,289	74	TCA			20
mV L $138-1433$ 68 CTA ATG TA mL^2 (UUR) H $3,16-3,193$ $5,39$ TA ATG TA mL^2 (UUR) H $3,16-3,193$ $5,39$ TA ATG TA mL^2 (UUR) H $3,16-3,193$ $5,39$ 73 CTT ATG TA mL^2 H $3,16-3,193$ 73 CTT ATG TA mR^2 H $3,16-3,193$ 73 CTT ATG TA mR^2 H $4,10-4,111$ 108 ATG TA TA mR^2 H $4,10-4,111$ 108 660 TA ATG TA mR^2 H $4,10-4,111$ 108 $4,10-4,112$ 108 106 $17A$ mR^2 H $4,10-4,112$ 108 106 $17A$ $17A$ mR^2 H $6,00-5,663$ $6,13$ 106 106 $17A$	trnC	L	1, 310 - 1, 365	56	GCA			20
ootl H 1,57-3,05 1,39 ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 3,116-3,180 6 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 3,116-3,180 6 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 3,181-3,180 6 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 3,181-3,180 6 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 3,181-3,180 6 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 4,103-4,764 660 ATG ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 4,103-4,764 660 TA ATG TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 6,000-6,010 71 TGC TA TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 6,000-6,010 71 TGC TA TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 6,000-6,010 71 TGC TGC TA $mull 2(UR)$ H 6,000-6,010 71	trnY	L	1,386-1,453	68	GTA			103
	cox1	Н	1,557-3,095	1,539		ATG	TAA	20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnL2 (UUR)	Н	3,116-3,180	65	TAA			0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cox2	Н	3,181-3,853	673		ATG	Т	ŝ
	trnK	Н	3,857-3,929	73	CTT			2
aps H $4.00+4.111$ 108 MTC TAA ap6 H $4.00+4.111$ 108 MTC TAA ap6 H $4.00+4.11$ 108 MTC TAA am6 H $4.00+4.11$ 660 MTC TAA amd3 H $6.00-5,663$ 64 TC MTA mad3 H $6.00-5,663$ 64 TC MTA TAA mad3 H $6.00-5,663$ 64 TC MTA TAA main H $6.00-5,663$ 64 TC MTA TAA main H $6.00-5,643$ 58 GTG MTA TAA main H $6.221-6,290$ 70 GTG MTA TAA main H $6.221-6,290$ 70 GTG MTG TAA mad5 L $6.356-6,213$ 58 GTG MTG TAA mad5 L $6.356-6,213$	trnD	Н	3,932-4,003	72	GTC			0
ap6 H $4,105,4,764$ $6,60$ ATG ATG TAA $m03$ H $5,002,6,090$ 71 TGC ATA TAA $m143$ H $5,607,6,014$ 348 TGC ATA TAA $m143$ H $5,607,6,014$ 348 TGC ATA TAA $m143$ H $6,020,6,090$ 71 TGC ATA TAA $m143$ H $6,125,6,191$ $6,7$ TGC ATA TAA $m144$ H $6,221-6,348$ 58 GCT ATC TAA $m167$ H $6,221-6,348$ 58 GCT TAC TAA $m161$ L $6,235-6,422$ $6,8$ TTC GCT GTG TAA $m161$ L $6,231-6,366$ $6,6$ GTG TA TA $m161$ L $6,246,566$ $6,8$ TCG TA TA $m144$ L	atp8	Н	4,004-4,111	108		ATC	TAA	L-
	atp 6	Н	4,105-4,764	660		ATG	TAA	27
	cox3	Н	4,792–5,577	786		ATG	TAA	22
	tmG	Н	5,600–5,663	64	TCC			33
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nad3	Н	5,667-6,014	348		ATA	TAA	5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnA	Н	6,020–6,090	71	TGC			34
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnR	Н	6, 125 - 6, 191	67	TCG			29
	trnN	Н	6,221-6,290	70	GTT			0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnS1 (AGN)	Н	6,291-6,348	58	GCT			9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnE	Н	6,355-6,422	68	TTC			81
	trnF	L	6,504–6,566	63	GAA			-1
	nad5	L	6,566-8,182	1,617		ATT	TAA	65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnH	L	8,248-8,315	68	GTG			28
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nad4	L	8,344–9,660	1,317		ATG	TAA	148
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nad4l	L	9,809 - 10,081	273		ATG	TAA	56
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnT	Н	10,138 - 10,201	64	TGT			11
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnP	L	10, 213 - 10, 282	70	TGG			43
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nad6	Н	10,326 - 10,821	496		ATA	Т	24
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcrc} tmS2 \ (UCN) & H & 12,014-12,082 & 69 & TGA \\ nad1 & L & 12,086-13,025 & 940 & GTG & T \\ tmL1 \ (UN) & L & 13,026-13,091 & 66 & TAG & TAG & \\ tmL \ (16S) & L & 13,092-14,343 & 1,252 & \\ tmV & L & 14,344-14,407 & 64 & TAC & \\ tmV & L & 14,408-15,133 & 726 & \\ tmV \ (Control revion \ (CR) & 15,13415,754 & 621 & \\ \end{array} $	Cytb	Н	10,846 - 11,958	1,113		ATG	TAA	55
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trnS2 (UCN)	Н	12,014-12,082	69	TGA			ω
truL1 (CUN)L13,026–13,09166TAG $rruL$ (16S)L13,092–14,3431,252 $truV$ L14,344–14,40764TAC ruS (12S)L14,408–15,133726Control revion (CR)15,134–15,754621	nad1	L	12,086 - 13,025	940		GTG	Т	0
mL (16S)L13,092-14,3431,252 tmV L14,344-14,40764TAC mS (12S)L14,408-15,133726Control revion (CR)15,134-15,754621	trnL1 (CUN)	L	13,026 - 13,091	99	TAG			0
trnV L 14,344-14,407 64 TAC rrnS (12S) L 14,408-15,133 726 726 Control revion (CR) 15,134-15,754 621 621	<i>rrnL</i> (16S)	L	13,092-14,343	1,252				0
<i>rruS</i> (12S) L 14,408–15,133 726 Control revion (CR) 15,134–15,754 621	trnV	L	14,344-14,407	64	TAC			0
Control region (CR) 15.134-15.754 621	<i>rrnS</i> (12S)	L	$14,408{-}15,133$	726				
	Control region (CR)		15, 134 - 15, 754	621				

Strand of the genes is presented as H for majority and L for minority strand. In the column for intergenic length, a positive sign indicates the interval in base pairs between genes, while the negative sign indicates overlapping base pairs between genes.

NT (1: :	6: (1)		Ŧ		6	A T		ATT 1	00.1
N. fuliginosa	Size(bp)	A	1	G	C	A + 1	G + C	A1-skew	GC-skew
Genome (no CR)	15,133	46.2%	34.1%	7.1%	12.6%	80.3%	19.7%	0.153	-0.279
Protein-coding genes	10,839	34.1%	45.1%	9.7%	11.1%	79.2%	20.8%	-0.139	-0.067
tRNA genes	1,473	43.0%	38.3%	10.6%	8.1%	81.3%	18.7%	0.058	0.134
rRNA genes	1,978	33.7%	47.6%	12.5%	6.2%	81.3%	18.7%	-0.171	0.339
Detected CR	621	57.0%	28.5%	3.1%	11.4%	85.5%	14.5%	0.333	-0.572

Table 3. Composition and skewness of the N. fuliginosa mitogenome

CR, control region.



Fig. 2. The AT skew of 13 PCGs from fulgoroid mitogenomes.

codons, where the RSCU is 4.54. CGC and CCG, conversely, have a relatively low frequency of synonymous codons and the RSCU is 0.

tRNAs and rRNAs

The total length of the tRNA genes of the mitogenome of *N. fuliginosa* was found to be 1,473 bp, and the lengths of the tRNA genes are between 56 bp (trnC) and 74 bp (trnW) (Tables 2 & 3). Among these, 14 genes were located on the H-strand and 8 genes were located on the L-strand. The tRNAs that transport Leucine and Serine amino acids correspond to two tRNA genes, namely trnL1 (UUR), trnL2 (CUN) and trnS1 (AGN), trnS2 (UCN); the tRNAs transport other 20 amino acids and each correspond to a tRNA gene. Through the analysis of the secondary structure of the tRNAs (Fig. 6), we found that the three tRNA

genes of *trnC*, *trnV*, and *trn S1* lacked the dihydrouridine (DHU) arm and the remaining 19 tRNA genes can form a typical clover-leaf structure.

Twenty-one wobble base pairs (Fig. 6) (G-U) were detected in 13 genes (trnQ, trnC, trnY, trnF, trnH, trnL1, trnV, trnM, trnL2, trnD, trnG, trnA, and trnS1) of the tRNA structure, in the amino acid-accepting arms, anticodon arms, T ψ C arms, and DHU arms all appeared, among which trnQ and trnL1 had the highest rate (four pairs each). In addition, two pairs of U-U base mismatches (in trnPand trnL2) and four pairs of A-A base mismatches (in trnW, trnA, trnE, and trnT) were found in the amino acid-accepting arms and the T ψ C arms.

The *rrnL* (16S) and *rrnS* (12S) genes, which are adjacent to the *trnL1* and *trnV*, and *trnV* and control region, respectively, are



Fig. 3. The GC skew of 13 PCGs from fulgoroid mitogenomes.

located on the L-strand. The lengths of the two genes were 1,252 bp (*rrnL*) and 721 bp (*rrnS*), respectively (Table 2). The secondary structure of the *rrnL* gene (Fig. 7) was found to contain five structural domains (domain III is missing in arthropods) and 42 helices. The IV and V domains were found to be relatively conservative. The secondary structure of the *rrnS* gene (Fig. 8) was found to contain three domains and 27 helices; domain III was found to be more conservative than domains I and II.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic analyses were based on the nucleotide sequences of the 13 PCGs (Fig. 9, tree 1) and 13 PCGs + 2 rRNAs (Fig. 10, tree 2) used ML and BI methods to construct phylogenetic trees from the mitogenomes of 32 species of Fulgoroidea. In these four phylogenetic analyses, family-level topology was found to be the same and as follows: (Delphacidae + (Meenoplidae + (Fulgoridae + (Achilidae + (Caliscelidae + (Issidae + (Ricaniidae + Flatidae))))). Phylogenetic results showed that the Fulgoroidea eight families were divided into two major groups, the Delphacidae formed a monophyletic group sister to a clade composed of Meenoplidae and six other families (Fulgoridae, Ricaniidae, Flatidae, Issidae, Caliscelidae, and Achilidae). Among them, Meenoplidae was located near the clade of Delphacidae, and Fulgoridae was located near the clade of Meenoplidae. Caliscelidae, Issidae, Ricaniidae, and Flatidae were closely related and formed the sister group to Achilidae. Besides, three families (Ricaniidae, Flatidae, and Issidae) formed the sister group to Caliscelidae.

Discussion

Among the Fulgoroidea, the mitogenome of the N. fuliginosa was found to be 15,754 bp in length, which was located between 14,367 bp in Nilaparvata lugens (Lv et al. 2015) and 17,619 bp in Nilaparvata lugens (Zhang et al. 2013). Unfortunately, we could not successfully sequence the complete control region, only 621 bp were sequenced, the longest known control region length is 2,429 bp (Zhang et al. 2013) in known Fulgoroidea insects. The gene arrangement of N. fuliginosa was found to be consistent with the putative ancestral gene order. Among the Fulgoroidea reported so far, gene rearrangement has been found in all Delphacidae examined except Ugyops sp. Compared with the original arrangement, the positions of three PCGs (nad4, nad4l, and nad6) and five tRNA genes (trnC, trnW, trnH, trnP, and trnT) were found to be translocated or inverted (Song and Liang 2009b, Zhang et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014, Lv et al. 2015). This gene rearrangement phenomenon is also found in other insects (Thao et al. 2004, Hua et al. 2008, Li et al. 2012b, Jiang et al. 2016, Song et al. 2016b, Du et al. 2017). Tandem duplications, nucleotide replacement and deletion, base mismatches, and gene spacers and overlaps are widely considered to be the cause of rearrangement phenomena (Mcknight and Shaffer 1997, Boore 2000, Song and Liang 2009b, Yu and Liang 2018, Xu et al. 2019).

Family	Species	atp6	atp8	cox1	cox2	cox3	cytb	nad1	nad2	nad3	nad4	nad4l	nad5	nad6
Meenoplidae	Nisia fuliginosa	0.02	0.24	-0.01	0.10	-0.02	-0.02	-0.37	-0.02	0.00	-0.35	-0.43	-0.35	-0.02
Achilidae	Peltatavertexalis horizontalis	0.14	0.32	0.05	0.19	0.12	0.02	-0.47	0.22	0.20	-0.46	-0.41	-0.53	0.14
	Betatropis formosana	0.16	0.44	0.09	0.20	0.15	0.08	-0.47	0.22	0.25	-0.48	-0.44	-0.54	0.17
	Magadhaideus luodiana	0.10	0.36	0.01	0.16	0.11	0.02	-0.45	0.18	0.15	-0.46	-0.41	-0.50	0.14
	Paracatonidia sp.	0.14	0.36	0.05	0.19	0.12	0.03	-0.46	0.17	0.14	-0.44	-0.44	-0.51	0.18
	Plectoderini sp.	0.14	0.36	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.04	-0.45	0.23	0.20	-0.49	-0.44	-0.53	0.15
Fulgoridae	Lycorma delicatula	0.18	0.38	0.08	0.23	0.18	0.11	-0.47	0.20	0.18	-0.51	-0.49	-0.54	0.20
	Lycorma meliae	0.18	0.40	0.08	0.25	0.17	0.10	-0.50	0.21	0.18	-0.52	-0.48	-0.55	0.20
	Pyrops candelaria	0.17	0.32	0.10	0.22	0.16	0.06	-0.48	0.27	0.20	-0.49	-0.49	-0.55	0.25
	Aphaena discolor	0.17	0.44	0.11	0.22	0.16	0.10	-0.50	0.26	0.23	-0.49	-0.48	-0.59	0.22
	Aphaena amabilis	0.15	0.35	0.07	0.22	0.14	0.08	-0.50	0.24	0.21	-0.49	-0.51	-0.54	0.19
Delphacidae	Changeondelphax velitchkovskyi	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	0.07	0.04	-0.07	-0.33	0.06	-0.05	-0.39	-0.41	-0.41	0.04
	Laodelphax striatellus	-0.04	0.22	-0.03	0.07	0.01	-0.11	-0.30	0.05	-0.02	-0.40	-0.25	-0.34	0.14
	Nilaparvata bakeri	-0.04	0.14	-0.06	0.05	-0.01	-0.12	-0.32	0.02	-0.14	-0.36	-0.26	-0.32	0.06
	Nilaparvata lugens	-0.04	0.13	-0.06	0.04	-0.02	-0.12	-0.32	0.00	-0.08	-0.38	-0.27	-0.33	0.06
	Nilaparvata muiri	-0.02	0.10	-0.03	0.04	-0.02	-0.11	-0.32	0.01	-0.20	-0.35	-0.30	-0.33	0.06
	Peregrinus maidis	-0.01	0.09	-0.06	0.07	0.03	-0.09	-0.32	0.03	-0.15	-0.38	-0.33	-0.37	0.10
	Saccharosydne procerus	0.00	0.07	-0.03	0.05	0.03	-0.06	-0.34	0.03	-0.03	-0.38	-0.36	-0.36	0.02
	Sogatella furcifera	-0.06	-0.03	-0.06	0.04	-0.05	-0.14	-0.35	-0.01	-0.09	-0.38	-0.34	-0.34	0.06
	Sogatella vibix	-0.07	-0.01	-0.05	0.05	0.01	-0.13	-0.33	0.01	-0.04	-0.35	-0.35	-0.38	0.03
	Sogatella kolophon	-0.07	-0.01	-0.04	0.04	0.04	-0.10	-0.30	0.00	-0.05	-0.35	-0.35	-0.35	0.05
	Ugyops sp.	0.24	0.30	0.11	0.33	0.21	0.10	-0.51	0.26	0.12	-0.55	-0.58	-0.55	0.16
Issidae	Hemisphaerius rufovarius	0.10	0.32	0.04	0.22	0.09	0.00	-0.47	0.21	0.15	-0.43	-0.43	-0.52	0.17
	Sivaloka damnosus	0.10	0.40	0.00	0.19	0.08	0.00	-0.43	0.17	0.11	-0.45	-0.35	-0.51	0.14
	Ricania marginalis	0.18	0.48	0.04	0.19	0.09	-0.05	-0.29	0.18	0.11	-0.53	-0.55	-0.50	0.15
Ricaniidae	Ricania speculum	0.09	0.44	0.02	0.18	0.07	0.03	-0.49	0.17	0.11	-0.54	-0.55	-0.54	0.15
	Ricania shantungensis	0.16	0.39	0.04	0.20	0.09	0.10	-0.53	0.16	0.15	-0.55	-0.55	-0.56	0.20
Flatidae	Geisha distinctissima	0.20	0.49	-0.01	-0.03	0.13	0.09	-0.52	0.25	0.16	-0.49	-0.56	-0.55	0.24
Caliscelidae	Bambusicaliscelis flavus	0.08	0.27	0.01	0.16	0.07	0.00	-0.44	0.15	0.06	-0.41	-0.44	-0.48	0.11
	Bambusicaliscelis fanjingensis	0.07	0.29	0.02	0.14	0.09	0.00	-0.44	0.15	0.11	-0.43	-0.40	-0.48	0.12
	Youtuus strigatus	0.11	0.19	0.01	0.17	0.07	0.01	-0.37	0.14	0.09	-0.36	-0.38	-0.43	0.07
	Youtuus erythrus	0.08	0.27	-0.01	0.16	0.05	-0.02	-0.40	0.12	0.08	-0.37	-0.42	-0.43	0.06

Table 4. The AT-skew of 13 PCGs in the 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes

Family	Species	atp6	atp8	cox1	cox2	cox3	cytb	nad1	nad2	nad3	nad4	nad4l	nad5	nad6
Meenoplidae	Nisia fuliginosa	-0.37	-0.44	-0.13	-0.27	-0.24	-0.22	0.30	-0.49	-0.39	0.28	0.56	0.32	-0.36
Achilidae	Peltatavertexalis horizontalis	-0.36	-0.49	-0.14	-0.27	-0.21	-0.25	0.30	-0.39	-0.26	0.36	0.40	0.28	-0.27
	Betatropis formosana	-0.45	-0.35	-0.18	-0.26	-0.18	-0.28	0.35	-0.42	-0.25	0.37	0.37	0.34	-0.40
	Magadhaideus luodiana	-0.46	-0.52	-0.18	-0.26	-0.27	-0.26	0.34	-0.41	-0.33	0.31	0.43	0.28	-0.37
	Paracatonidia sp.	-0.45	-0.75	-0.21	-0.34	-0.24	-0.28	0.36	-0.51	-0.39	0.39	0.56	0.38	-0.46
	Plectoderini sp.	-0.45	-0.44	-0.21	-0.25	-0.27	-0.26	0.33	-0.49	-0.31	0.38	0.51	0.36	-0.40
Fulgoridae	Lycorma delicatula	-0.33	-0.63	-0.18	-0.32	-0.29	-0.27	0.37	-0.54	-0.34	0.30	0.48	0.30	-0.33
	Lycorma meliae	-0.34	-0.55	-0.18	-0.33	-0.28	-0.27	0.39	-0.55	-0.35	0.35	0.57	0.30	-0.36
	Pyrops candelaria	-0.39	-0.40	-0.14	-0.14	-0.15	-0.19	0.26	-0.30	-0.31	0.19	0.58	0.19	-0.27
	Aphaena discolor	-0.35	-0.52	-0.18	-0.25	-0.25	-0.23	0.37	-0.49	-0.25	0.30	0.59	0.26	-0.35
	Aphaena amabilis	-0.35	-0.57	-0.15	-0.24	-0.27	-0.26	0.31	-0.49	-0.30	0.27	0.61	0.28	-0.30
Delphacidae	Changeondelphax velitchkovskyi	-0.38	-0.68	-0.26	-0.31	-0.30	-0.30	0.21	-0.42	-0.16	0.27	0.54	0.30	-0.12
	Laodelphax striatellus	-0.44	-0.54	-0.19	-0.20	-0.14	-0.23	0.17	-0.31	-0.15	0.25	0.35	0.21	-0.20
	Nilaparvata bakeri	-0.37	-0.57	-0.18	-0.28	-0.20	-0.19	0.11	-0.44	-0.19	0.29	0.42	0.22	-0.21
	Nilaparvata lugens	-0.39	-0.47	-0.17	-0.27	-0.13	-0.16	0.11	-0.40	-0.26	0.27	0.49	0.26	-0.23
	Nilaparvata muiri	-0.40	-0.41	-0.18	-0.25	-0.16	-0.19	0.08	-0.42	-0.06	0.26	0.47	0.22	-0.21
	Peregrinus maidis	-0.40	-0.67	-0.19	-0.30	-0.22	-0.21	0.15	-0.33	-0.23	0.23	0.68	0.28	-0.31
	Saccharosydne procerus	-0.36	-0.56	-0.14	-0.22	-0.15	-0.20	0.19	-0.39	-0.31	0.25	0.45	0.22	-0.30
	Sogatella furcifera	-0.31	-0.55	-0.15	-0.18	-0.04	-0.16	0.11	-0.24	-0.04	0.08	0.51	0.15	-0.22
	Sogatella vibix	-0.27	-0.30	-0.16	-0.17	-0.08	-0.14	0.06	-0.37	-0.16	0.12	0.51	0.16	-0.09
	Sogatella kolophon	-0.29	-0.20	-0.15	-0.17	-0.10	-0.19	0.11	-0.29	-0.06	0.10	0.67	0.12	-0.15
	Ugyops sp.	-0.40	-0.53	-0.15	-0.30	-0.20	-0.28	0.41	-0.45	-0.29	0.28	0.52	0.29	-0.39
Issidae	Hemisphaerius rufovarius	-0.28	-0.52	-0.12	-0.16	-0.14	-0.17	0.30	-0.38	-0.31	0.32	0.50	0.25	-0.36
	Sivaloka damnosus	-0.30	-0.62	-0.13	-0.25	-0.13	-0.20	0.22	-0.37	-0.23	0.32	0.50	0.30	-0.38
	Ricania marginalis	-0.33	-0.39	-0.12	-0.21	-0.10	-0.22	0.12	-0.29	-0.23	0.23	0.45	0.17	-0.26
Ricaniidae	Ricania speculum	-0.31	-0.39	-0.15	-0.16	-0.10	-0.24	0.25	-0.29	-0.22	0.24	0.45	0.18	-0.24
	Ricania shantungensis	-0.40	-0.14	-0.15	-0.25	-0.14	-0.25	0.34	-0.29	-0.27	0.24	0.41	0.24	-0.27
Flatidae	Geisha distinctissima	-0.35	-0.36	-0.16	-0.23	-0.18	-0.27	0.38	-0.43	-0.18	0.31	0.47	0.28	-0.28
Caliscelidae	Bambusicaliscelis flavus	-0.43	-0.58	-0.12	-0.27	-0.16	-0.24	0.37	-0.45	-0.32	0.32	0.61	0.35	-0.33
	Bambusicaliscelis fanjingensis	-0.41	-0.56	-0.12	-0.31	-0.23	-0.21	0.36	-0.53	-0.31	0.34	0.55	0.30	-0.36
	Youtuus strigatus	-0.37	-0.65	-0.12	-0.21	-0.20	-0.21	0.29	-0.49	-0.25	0.31	0.56	0.22	-0.29
	Youttues erythrus	-0.32	-0.75	-0.10	-0.23	-0.16	-0.22	0.26	-0.44	-0.34	0.33	0.63	0.28	-0.31

Table 5. The GC-skew of 13 PCGs in the 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes



Fig. 4. Usage of amino acids of 13 PCGs in the *N. fuliginosa* mitogenome. Numbers to the left refer to the total number of the codon. Codon families are indicated below the x-axis.



Fig. 5. Relative synonymous codon usage of amino acids of 13 PCGs in the mitochondrial genome of *N. fuliginosa*. Codon families are indicated below the x-axis.

Whether other, as yet undiscovered, factors affect gene rearrangement requires more in-depth research (Song and Liang 2009b). Currently, data of eight known families of Fulgoroidea show that only Delphacidae have rearrangements. Although base mismatches and gene spacers/overlaps have also appeared in other families, no rearrangements have been detected. Therefore, extensive research is still required to accurately study the rearrangement mechanisms of Fulgoroidea genomes.

There are three gene overlapping regions in the mitochondrial genome of *N. fuliginosa*, the longest overlapping sequence is located between *atp8* and *atp6* and has a length of 7 bp. Among the Fulgoroidea insects, *atp8* and *atp6* overlap by 7 bp in most species, whereas they

overlap by 4 bp in some species and by 1 bp in *Nilaparvata lugens*. The AT content of the mitogenome (no control region) of *N. fuliginosa* was found to be 80.3% and the GC content was found to be 19.7%, showing obvious AT preference. This percentage is between the AT content of *Magadhaideus luodiana*, *Pyrops candelaria* (both 74.3%), and *Saccharosydne procerus* (80.5%) (Song et al. 2012, Huang and Qin 2018, Xu et al. 2019). We also compared the AT and GC skews of 13 PCGs of 32 Fulgoroidea mitogenomes. We observed on the L-strand, the AT skew was negative and the GC skew was positive. On the H-strand, the AT skew could be positive or negative, but the GC skew was always negative. The gene set on the H-strand was C-skewed and that on the L-strand was G-skewed (Yu and Liang 2018).

Table 6. Codo	n number and F	SCU in N. fulig	<i>inosa</i> mitochondrić	al PCGs							
Codon	Count	RSCU	Codon	Count	RSCU	Codon	Count	RSCU	Codon	Count	RSCU
UUU(F)	400	1.86	UCU(S)	118	2.27	UAU(Y)	152	1.81	UGA(W)	77	1.83
UUC(F)	30	0.14	UCC(S)	16	0.31	UAC(Y)	16	0.19	UGG(W)	7	0.17
UUA(L)	342	4.54	UCA(S)	168	3.24	CAU(H)	44	1.47	CGU(R)	18	1.71
UUG(L)	29	0.38	UCG(S)	4	0.08	CAC(H)	16	0.53	CGC(R)	0	0.00
CUU(L)	35	0.46	CCU(P)	48	1.57	CAA(Q)	59	1.79	CGA(R)	23	2.19
CUC(L)	2	0.03	CCC(P)	14	0.46	CAG(Q)	7	0.21	CGG(R)	1	0.10
CUA(L)	41	0.54	CCA(P)	60	1.97	AAU(N)	151	1.72	AGU(S)	27	0.52
CUG(L)	ŝ	0.04	CCG(P)	0	0.00	AAC(N)	25	0.28	AGC(S)	1	0.02
AUU(I)	416	1.82	ACU(T)	54	1.64	AAA(K)	140	1.87	AGA(S)	74	1.43
AUC(I)	42	0.18	ACC(T)	14	0.42	AAG(K)	10	0.13	AGG(S)	7	0.13
AUA(M)	296	1.82	ACA(T)	63	1.91	GAU(D)	43	1.56	GGU(G)	74	1.70
AUG(M)	30	0.18	ACG(T)	1	0.03	GAC(D)	12	0.44	GGC(G)	9	0.14
GUU(V)	59	2.02	GCU(A)	30	1.88	GAA(E)	68	1.77	GGA(G)	83	1.91
GUC(V)	1	0.03	GCC(A)	7	0.44	GAG(E)	6	0.23	GGG(G)	11	0.25
GUA(V)	53	1.81	GCA(A)	26	1.62	UGU(C)	30	1.76	UAA(*)	10	0.34
GUG(V)	4	0.14	GCG(A)	1	0.06	UGC(C)	4	0.24	UAG(*)	0	0.00

"Termination codons.

The 13 PCGs of the mitogenome of *N. fuliginosa* were found to be 10,839 bp in length, except for the start codon of the *nad1* gene (GTG), all other PCG start codons are ATN. In Fulgoroids, it has been found that the *nad1* gene of four species also use GTG as the start codon (Song et al. 2010, Xu et al. 2019) and the *nad5* gene of the seven species use GTG as the start codon (Zhang et al. 2014, Huang and Qin 2018, Yu and Liang 2018, Gong et al. 2021); in addition, the *nad5* gene starts with TTG (Xu et al. 2019, Yang et al. 2020). The termination codons of 10 PCGs are all TAA and the remaining genes (*cox2, nad6*, and *nad1*) use an incomplete stop codon T. In Fulgoroids, most PCGs use TAA as the stop codon, some use incomplete T, and a few use TAG.

Through the analysis of the secondary structure of the tRNAs, we found that the three tRNA genes of trnC, trnV, and trnS1 lacked the DHU arm and the remaining 19 tRNA genes can form a typical cloverleaf structure. In Fulgoroidea, barring the fact that the trnS1 genes in most insects lack DHU arms, the trnV genes in Aphaena discolor, A. amabilis, Bambusicaliscelis flavus, B. fanjingensis, Youtuus Strigatus, and Y. erythrus; the trnG and trnS2 genes in Sogatella furcifera; the trnH in Laodelphax striatellus; and the trnC in Youtuus Strigatus and Y. erythrus also cannot form a typical cloverleaf structure (Song and Liang 2009a, 2009b; Zhang et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016; Yu and Liang 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2019; Gong et al. 2021). Twenty-one wobble base pairs (G-U) were detected in 13 genes of the tRNA structure, among which trnQ and trnL1 had the highest rate (four pairs each). In addition, two pairs of U-U base mismatches (in trnP and trnL2) and four pairs of A-A base mismatches (in trnW, trnA, trnE, and trnT) were found. Wobble and mismatched pairs, which commonly occur in insect tRNAs, are usually corrected during the editing processes (Lavrov et al. 2000).

Phylogenetic analyses were based on the nucleotide sequences of the 13 PCGs (tree1) and 13 PCGs + 2 rRNAs (tree 2) from the mitogenomes of 32 species of Fulgoroidea. In this study, both tree 1 and tree 2 showed that the families Delphacidae formed monophyletic group and was located at the base of the tree, which is consistent with the results of many previous phylogenetic studies based on the external morphological characteristics, single-nuclear genes and mitochondrial genes (Muir 1923, Emeljanov 1991, Bourgoin 1993, Yeh et al. 2005, Urban and Cryan 2007, Yu and Liang 2018, Xu et al. 2019, Gong et al. 2021). Meenoplidae and six other families formed another group that was located near the clade of Delphacidae, consistent with findings of previous studies (Muir 1923, Emeljanov 1991, Bourgoin 1993, Yeh et al. 2005, Urban and Cryan 2007). Three families (Ricaniidae, Issidae, and Flatidae) formed a consistent clade, in concordance with previous studies (Asche 1987, Huang and Qin 2018, Wang et al. 2019, Xu et al. 2019, Gong et al. 2021). Caliscelidae, Issidae, Ricaniidae, and Flatidae are closely related and they gathered to form a sister group to Achilidae, this was consistent with the research of Gong et al. (2021). In some studies, Achilidae was located near the clade of Fulgoridae, whereas, in our study, it was far from the clade of Fulgoridae; however, our findings are consistent with those of some previous studies (Song et al. 2012, Xu et al. 2019, Yang et al. 2020), which may be caused by different taxa sampling or tree construction methods. Although the known mitogenomes from Fulgoroidea are still limited, our study will be helpful to provide a molecular basis for the classification and phylogeny of Fulgoroidea. Besides, further mitochondrial genome research is needed to better understand the evolutionary status and phylogenetic relationships of Fulgoroidea in the future.



Fig. 6. Secondary structure of 22 tRNA genes from *N. fuliginosa* mitogenome. (The standard base-pairing bonds of A-U and G-C are represented by straight lines, while G-U pairings are indicated by points. The tRNAs located on the L-strand are shown in blue, while those located on the H-strand are shown in red.)



Fig. 7. Predicted secondary structure of *rrnL* in the *N. fuliginosa* mitogenome. (The standard base-pairing bonds of A-U and G-C are represented by straight lines, while G-U pairings are indicated by points.)



Fig. 8. Predicted secondary structure of *rrnS* in the *N. fuliginosa* mitogenome. (The standard base-pairing bonds of A-U and G-C are represented by straight lines, while G-U pairings are indicated by points.)



Fig. 9. Phylogenetic tree of 32 species of Fulgoroidea based on the nucleotide sequences of mtDNA 13 PCGs using ML. Numbers on the branches indicate Bayesian Inference posterior probability (PP, left) and ML bootstrap support (BS, right).



Fig. 10. Phylogenetic trees of 32 species of Fulgoroidea based on the nucleotide sequences of mtDNA 13 PCGs + 2 rRNAs using ML. Numbers on the branches indicate Bayesian Inference posterior probability (PP, left) and ML bootstrap support (BS, right).

Acknowledgments

We sincerely appreciate the specimen collectors for their hard work in the field collections. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 32060343, 31472033); the Science and Technology Support Program of Guizhou Province (grant no. 20201Y129); and the Program of Excellent Innovation Talents, Guizhou Province (grant no. 20154021).

Author Contributions

S.-S.L. and X.-S.C. conceived the original idea. S.-S.L. carried out the experiment. S.-S.L. wrote the manuscript with support from Y.-J.Z. and X.-S.C. Y.J.Z. and N.G. offered great in data analysis.

References Cited

- Asche, M. 1987. Preliminary thoughts on the phylogeny of Fulgoromorpha (Homoptera: Auchenorrhyncha), *In* Proceedings of the 6th Auchenorrhyncha, Turin, Italy, 7–11 September, pp. 47–53.
- Avise, J. C. 1986. Mitochondrial DNA and the evolutionary genetics of higher animals. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 312: 325–342.
- Bernt, M., A. Donath, F. Jühling, F. Externbrink, C. Florentz, G. Fritzsch, J. Pütz, M. Middendorf, and P. F. Stadler. 2013. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 69: 313–319.
- Bjork, A., W. Liu, J. O. Wertheim, B. H. Hahn, and M. Worobey. 2011. Evolutionary history of chimpanzees inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28: 615–623.
- Boore, J. L. 1999. Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 27: 1767–1780.
- Boore, J. L. 2000. The duplication/random loss model for gene rearrangement exemplified by mitochondrial genomes of deuterostome animals. Comp. Genomics. 12: 133–147.
- Bourgoin, T. 1993. Female genitalia in Fulgoromorpha (Insecta, Hemiptera): morphological and phylogenetical data. Ann. Soc. Entomol. Fr. 29: 225–244.
- Bourgoin, T. 1997. The Meenoplidae (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha) of New Caledonia, with a revision of the genus Eponisia Matsumura, 1914, and new morphological data on forewing venation and wax plate areas. Mem. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat. 171: 197–249.
- Bourgoin, T. 2021. FLOW (Fulgoromorpha Lists on The Web): a world knowledge base dedicated to Fulgoromorpha. Version 8. http:// hemipteradatabasesorg/flow (Accessed 24 Mar 2021).
- Cameron, S. L. 2014. Insect mitochondrial genomics: implications for evolution and phylogeny. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 59: 95–117.
- Chang, D., M. Knapp, J. Enk, S. Lippold, M. Kircher, A. Lister, R. D. MacPhee, C. Widga, P. Czechowski, R. Sommer, et al. 2017. The evolutionary and phylogeographic history of woolly mammoths: a comprehensive mitogenomic analysis. Sci. Rep. 7: 44585.
- Crampton-Platt, A., M. J. Timmermans, M. L. Gimmel, S. N. Kutty, T. D. Cockerill, C. Vun Khen, and A. P. Vogler. 2015. Soup to tree: the phylogeny of beetles inferred by mitochondrial metagenomics of a Bornean rainforest sample. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 2302–2316.
- Darriba, D., G. L. Taboada, R. Doallo, and D. Posada. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods. 9: 772.
- Du, Y. M., C. N. Zhang, C. H. Dietrich, Y. L. Zhang, and W. Dai. 2017. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genomes of Maiestas dorsalis and Japananus hyalinus (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and comparison with other Membracoidea. Sci. Rep. 7: 14197.
- Du, Z. Y., Y. F. Wu, Z. Chen, L. M. Cao, T. Ishikawa, S. Kamitani, T. Sota, F. Song, L. Tian, W. Z. Cai, and H. Li. 2020. Global phylogeography and invasion history of the spotted lanternfly revealed by mitochondrial phylogenomics. Evol. Appl. 00: 1–16.
- Emeljanov, A. F. 1984. A contribution to knowledge of the families Kinnaidae and Meenoplidae (Homoptera, Fulgoroidea). Entomol. Rev. 64: 61–65.

- Emeljanov, A. F. 1991. An attempt to construct a phylogenetic tree for planthoppers (Homoptera, Cicadina). Entomol. Rev. 70: 24–28.
- Fields, P. D., D. J. Obbard, S. J. McTaggart, Y. Galimov, T. J. Little, and D. Ebert. 2018. Mitogenome phylogeographic analysis of a planktonic crustacean. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 129: 138–148.
- Foote, A. D., P. A. Morin, J. W. Durban, R. L. Pitman, P. Wade, E. Willerslev, M. T. Gilbert, and R. R. da Fonseca. 2011. Positive selection on the killer whale mitogenome. Biol. Lett. 7: 116–118.
- Gillespie, J. J., J. S. Johnston, J. J. Cannone, and R. R. Gutell. 2006. Characteristics of the nuclear (18S, 5.8S, 28S and 5S) and mitochondrial (12S and 16S) rRNA genes of Apis mellifera (Insecta: Hymenoptera): structure, organization, and retrotransposable elements. Insect Mol. Biol. 15: 657–686.
- Gong, N., L. Yang, and X. S. Chen. 2021. Structural features and phylogenetic implications of four new mitogenomes of Caliscelidae (Hemiptera: Fulgoromorpha). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22: 1348.
- Hu, C. L., and L. F. Yang. 1993. List of Meenoplid species of China with one new species (Homoptera: Fulgoroidea). Entomotaxonomia. 15: 35–40.
- Hua, J., M. Li, P. Dong, Y. Cui, Q. Xie, and W. Bu. 2008. Comparative and phylogenomic studies on the mitochondrial genomes of Pentatomomorpha (Insecta: Hemiptera: Heteroptera). BMC Genomics. 9: 610.
- Huang, Y. X., and D. Z. Qin. 2018. Sequencing and analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of Changeondelphax velitchkovskyi (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea). Mitochondrial DNA. B. Resour. 3: 90–91.
- James, J. E., G. Piganeau, and A. Eyre-Walker. 2016. The rate of adaptive evolution in animal mitochondria. Mol. Ecol. 25: 67–78.
- Jiang, P., H. Li, F. Song, Y. Cai, J. Y. Wang, J. P. Liu, and W. Z. Cai. 2016. Duplication and remolding of tRNA genes in the mitochondrial genome of Reduvius tenebrosus (Hemiptera: Reduviidae). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17: 951.
- Katoh, K., and D. M. Standley. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30: 772–780.
- Lapidus, A., D. Antipov, A. Bankevich, A. Gurevich, A. Korobeynikov, S. Nurk, A. Prjibelski, Y. Safonova, I. Vasilinetc, and P. A. Pevzner. 2014. New frontiers of genome assembly with SPAdes 3.0. (poster).
- Laslett, D., and B. Canbäck. 2008. ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 24: 172–175.
- Lavrov, D. V., W. M. Brown, and J. L. Boore. 2000. A novel type of RNA editing occurs in the mitochondrial tRNAs of the centipede Lithobius forficatus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97: 13738–13742.
- Li, H., H. Y. Liu, L. M. Cao, A. M. Shi, H. L. Yang, and W. Z. Cai. 2012a. The complete mitochondrial genome of the damsel bug Alloeorhynchus bakeri (Hemiptera: Nabidae). Int. J. Biol. Sci. 8: 93–107.
- Li, H., H. Y. Liu, A. M. Shi, P. Stys, X. G. Zhou, and W. Z. Cai. 2012b. The complete mitochondrial genome and novel gene arrangement of the unique-headed bug Stenopirates sp. (Hemiptera: Enicocephalidae). Plos One. 7: e29419.
- Li, Z. Y., X. X. Li, N. Song, H. J. Tang, and X. M. Yin. 2020. The mitochondrial genome of Amara aulica (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalinae) and insights into the phylogeny of ground beetles. Genes. 11: 181.
- Liu, Y. Y., Z. C. Zhou, and X. S. Chen. 2020. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of Epicauta impressicornis (Coleoptera: Meloidae) and its phylogenetic implications for the Infraorder Cucujiformia. J. Insect Sci. 20: 2.
- Lowe, T. M., and P. P. Chan. 2016. tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 44: W54–W57.
- Lv, L., X. X. Peng, S. L. Jing, B. F. Liu, L. L. Zhu, and G. C. He. 2015. Intraspecific and interspecific variations in the mitochondrial genomes of Nilaparvata (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 108: 2021–2029.
- Ma, L. Y., F. F. Liu, H. Chiba, and X. Q. Yuan. 2020. The mitochondrial genomes of three skippers: insights into the evolution of the family Hesperiidae (Lepidoptera). Genomics. 112: 432–441.
- McKnight, M. L., and H. B. Shaffer. 1997. Large, rapidly evolving intergenic spacers in the mitochondrial DNA of the salamander family Ambystomatidae (Amphibia: Caudata). Mol. Biol. Evol. 14: 1167–1176.

- Muir, F. 1923. On the classification of the Fulgoroidea (Hemiptera). Proc. Hawaii. Entomol. Soc. 5: 205–247.
- Nguyen, L. T., H. A. Schmidt, A. von Haeseler, and B. Q. Minh. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 268–274.
- Perna, N. T., and T. D. Kocher. 1995. Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. J. Mol. Evol. 41: 353–358.
- Ronquist, F., M. Teslenko, P. van der Mark, D. L. Ayres, A. Darling, S. Höhna, B. Larget, L. Liu, M. A. Suchard, and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61: 539–542.
- Song, N., and A. P. Liang. 2009a. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of Geisha distinctissima (Hemiptera: Flatidae) and comparison with other hemipteran insects. Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai). 41: 206–216.
- Song, N., and A. P. Liang. 2009b. Complete mitochondrial genome of the small brown planthopper, Laodelphax striatellus (Delphacidae: Hemiptera), with a novel gene order. Zoolog. Sci. 26: 851–860.
- Song, N., and A. P. Liang. 2013. A preliminary molecular phylogeny of planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea) based on nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. Plos One. 8: e58400.
- Song, N., A. P. Liang, and C. Ma. 2010. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of the planthopper, Sivaloka damnosus. J. Insect Sci. 10: 1–20.
- Song, N., A. P. Liang, and C. P. Bu. 2012. A molecular phylogeny of Hemiptera inferred from mitochondrial genome sequences. Plos One. 7: e48778.
- Song, F., H. Li, P. Jiang, X. Zhou, J. Liu, C. Sun, A. P. Vogler, and W. Z. Cai. 2016a. Capturing the phylogeny of Holometabola with mitochondrial genome data and Bayesian site-heterogeneous mixture models. Genome Biol. Evol. 8: 1411–1426.
- Song, F., H. Li, R. Shao, A. Shi, X. Bai, X. Zheng, E. Heiss, and W. Z. Cai. 2016b. Rearrangement of mitochondrial tRNA genes in flat bugs (Hemiptera: Aradidae). Sci. Rep. 6: 25725.
- Sun, J. T., X. Z. Duan, A. A. Hoffmann, and X. Y. Hong. 2019. Mitochondrial variation in small brown planthoppers linked to multiple traits and likely reflecting a complex evolutionary trajectory. Mol. Ecol. 28: 14.
- Tamura, K., G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, and S. Kumar. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30: 2725–2729.
- Thao, M. L., L. Baumann, and P. Baumann. 2004. Organization of the mitochondrial genomes of whiteflies, aphids, and psyllids (Hemiptera, Sternorrhyncha). BMC Evol. Biol. 4: 25.
- Timmermans, M. J., C. Barton, J. Haran, D. Ahrens, C. L. Culverwell, A. Ollikainen, S. Dodsworth, P. G. Foster, L. Bocak, and A. P. Vogler. 2016. Family-level sampling of mitochondrial genomes in Coleoptera: compositional heterogeneity and phylogenetics. Genome Biol. Evol. 8: 161–175.
- Urban, J. M., and J. R. Cryan. 2007. Evolution of the planthoppers (Insecta: Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 42: 556–572.

- Vaidya, G., D. J. Lohman, and R. Meier. 2011. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics. 27: 171–180.
- Wang, Y., J. Chen, L. Y. Jiang, and G. X. Qiao. 2015. Hemipteran mitochondrial genomes: features, structures and implications for phylogeny. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16: 12382–12404.
- Wang, J., H. Li, and R. Dai. 2017. Complete mitochondrial genome of Taharana fasciana (Insecta, Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and comparison with other Cicadellidae insects. Genetica. 145: 593–602.
- Wang, W. Q., Y. X. Huang, C. R. Bartlett, F. M. Zhou, R. Meng, and D. Z. Qin. 2019. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genomes of two species of the genus Aphaena Guérin-Méneville (Hemiptera: Fulgoridae) and its phylogenetic implications. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 141: 29–40.
- Xu, S. Y., J. K. Long, and X. S. Chen. 2019. Comparative analysis of the complete mitochondrial genomes of five Achilidae species (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea) and other Fulgoroidea reveals conserved mitochondrial genome organization. Peerj. 7: e6659.
- Yang, L. F., and C. L. Hu. 1985. Descriptions of four new species of Meenoplidae (Homoptera, Fulgoroidea). J. Nanjing Agric. Univ. 4: 21–27.
- Yang, L. J., L. Yang, Z. M. Chang, Y. J. Zhang, and X. S. Chen. 2020. The complete mitochondrial genome of Hemisphaerius rufovarius Walker, 1858. Mitochondrial DNA. B. Resour. 5: 2619–2620.
- Yeh, W. B., C. T. Yang, and C. F. Hui. 2005. A molecular phylogeny of planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea) inferred from mitochondrial 16S rDNA sequences. Zool. Stud. 44: 519–535.
- Yu, F., and A. P. Liang. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of Ugyops sp. (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). J. Insect Sci. 18: 3.
- Zhang, K. J., W. C. Zhu, X. Rong, Y. K. Zhang, X. L. Ding, J. Liu, D. S. Chen, Y. Du, and X. Y. Hong. 2013. The complete mitochondrial genomes of two rice planthoppers, Nilaparvata lugens and Laodelphax striatellus: conserved genome rearrangement in Delphacidae and discovery of new characteristics of atp8 and tRNA genes. BMC Genomics. 14: 417.
- Zhang, K. J., W. C. Zhu, X. Rong, J. Liu, X. L. Ding, and X. Y. Hong. 2014. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) and a comparative mitogenomic analysis of three predominant rice planthoppers. Gene. 533: 100–109.
- Zhang, Q. X., D. L. Guan, Y. Niu, L. Q. Sang, X. X. Zhang, and S. Q. Xu. 2016. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of the Asian planthopper Ricania speculum (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Ricannidae). Conserv. Genet. Resour. 8: 463–466.
- Zhao, W., and Q. Liu. 2010. Insect community and its diversity characteristics on Chinese endemic plant of Tetraena mongolica. Chin. Bull. Entomol. 47: 177–182.
- Zhou, Y., J. S. Lu, J. Huang, and S. Z. Wang. 1985. Enconomic insect funa of China. Science press, Beijing, China, 36: 43–47.